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Object manipulation using an innovative glove allows large databases of detailed pressure maps to be obtained. Such data could lead to advances in robotic sensing and in our understanding of the role of touch in manipulation. **See Letter p.698**

**Giulia Pasquale**

The study and replication of human sensory abilities, such as visual, auditory and tactile (touch-based) perception, depend on the availability of suitable data. Generally, the larger and richer the data set, the more closely models can mimic these functions. Advances in artificial visual and speech systems rely on powerful models, known as deep-learning models, and have been fuelled by the ubiquity of databases of digital images and spoken audio (see, for example, go.nature.com/2w7nc0q). By contrast, progress in the development of tactile sensors — devices that convert a stimulus of physical contact into a measurable signal — has been limited, mainly because of the difficulty of integrating electronics into flexible materials. On page 698, Sundaram et al. report their use of a low-cost tactile glove that addresses this issue.

The authors’ glove consists of a hand-shaped sensing sleeve that is attached to the palm side of a knitted glove (Fig. 1). The sleeve contains a force-sensitive film on which is sewn a network of 64 electrically conducting threads. When the hand is in contact with an object, the applied pressure from low (black) to high (red) is mapped by a camera and displayed on a computer monitor (Fig. 1). Each of the 548 points on the glove is represented by a small square that changes in colour as the applied pressure changes. The output of the glove can be used to control robotic systems, such as computer mice or virtual objects, and can be used to enhance the learning experience for deaf children, as it allows them to feel the vibrations produced by spoken audio.

**Simon T. Schafer and Fred H. Gage are at The Salk Institute for Biological Studies, La Jolla, California 92037, USA.**
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**ELECTRONICS**

A step forward for artificial touch systems

The formation of nerve cells in the adult mouse brain occurs in the subventricular zone and the dentate gyrus. Mauffrey et al. report that, in a mouse model of prostate cancer, there is a breach in the blood–brain barrier near the subventricular zone that is caused by unknown factors. Neural progenitor cells from the subventricular zone exit the brain through this breach, migrate through blood vessels and specifically invade prostate tumours. At the site of the cancer, the neural progenitor cells, which express the protein doublecortin (a marker protein that the authors used to track the development of neuronal cell populations), give rise to a type of immature nerve cell called a neuroblast, revealing the origins of newly formed nerve cells in the tumour microenvironment that are linked to tumour progression.

This phenomenon is unexpected and intriguing, and Mauffrey and colleagues also found that neurogenesis in humans normally persists in the subventricular zone throughout life, so it might be that the mechanisms that drive neo-neurogenesis in humans are different from those observed in the systems studied by Mauffrey and colleagues. In addition, the Hi-myc mouse model might not be sufficient to model all types of prostate cancer. If that is the case, it would be useful to test other mouse models, to determine whether these findings reflect a general mechanism or one that is specific to this particular model.

Regardless of such unknowns, Mauffrey and colleagues’ study undoubtedly provides one explanation for the origins of newly formed nerve cells in prostate tumours in mice. But the possibility that some of these nerve cells arise spontaneously from other types of cell in the tumour or its surroundings cannot be ruled out. Nevertheless, the authors’ findings warrant further research to illuminate the details of the mechanisms responsible. For example, how is a breach in the blood–brain barrier initiated in a way that is specific to the subventricular zone? The answers to this and other questions should help to shed light on this fascinating topic.
of single-hand manipulation of 26 everyday objects. This procedure resulted in a database of detailed pressure maps that, to my knowledge, is one of the largest data sets of this kind. The authors found that the glove was flexible, robust and sensitive to small pressure changes, despite its fabrication cost of only about US$10.

To demonstrate that the glove captures different interactions of the hand with each object, Sundaram et al. used the recorded data to carry out automatic object identification. They showed how a state-of-the-art deep-learning model, which was originally designed for large-scale image classification, could learn from the gathered pressure maps to re-identify the 26 objects during blind manipulation. The large number of maps and their spatial resolution proved essential for successful object identification.

Next, the authors used the glove to pick objects up, and showed that a similar deep-learning model could estimate the weights of unknown objects. The glove was also worn during different hand poses, and the signal read by the sensors was detailed enough to distinguish between each pose. Finally, Sundaram and colleagues analysed the collaborations between different hand regions during object grasping by looking at signal correlations.

In addition to providing experimental evidence of well-studied principles that underlie human grasping, this data-driven exploration could improve our understanding of the function of touch during object manipulation. Deep-learning models have greatly advanced our knowledge of the neural mechanisms that underlie visual object recognition. In this respect, a similar approach could be applied to the interpretation of tactile-information processing in the brain.

Sundaram and colleagues simultaneously produced pressure maps and corresponding photographs of the hand during object manipulation, generating a large amount of synchronized visual and tactile information. Data sets of multiple forms of sensory perception are uncommon, and represent a fundamental step towards the development of multisensory integration systems and an understanding of how the brain develops a coherent perception of the environment.

Such a flexible sensing device might have various applications—for example, in medical diagnostics, personal health care and sport. But it could also impact on the development of active (externally powered) prosthetic and robotic hands. Tactile feedback has a crucial role in controlling hand movement and exerted forces, such that the lack of this information makes it challenging for both humans and robots to achieve a stable grasp. Moreover, the sense of touch directly enables humans and robots to achieve a stable grasp and localization. It is also known that providing active prostheses with tactile feedback could help to alleviate phantom-limb pain (the perception of pain from a missing limb), increase the sense of ownership over the prosthesis and reduce the cognitive stress involved in controlling the device, by enabling more natural operation.

Tactile sensors can be incorporated into a glove that envelops an artificial limb, or directly fixed onto mechanical parts. In this respect, the technology of Sundaram and colleagues’ device can be adapted to various shapes for integration into robotic or prosthetic arms. Currently, the main limitations are the disadvantages of the required dense sensor coverage of the glove. One disadvantage is extensive wiring—which although the authors used a design of rows and columns to keep such wiring reasonably constrained. Another aspect is the rate at which pressure maps are recorded, which might need to be higher depending on the application (for example, if the tactile feedback were used to control a robotic hand). Nevertheless, I think that the glove in its present form or improved versions of it offer exciting prospects for robotics applications.

An emerging type of machine-learning model has proved effective in mimicking the human ability to learn to perform actions from experience—a process called reinforcement learning. In the past few years, researchers have used particular gloves to record hand-pose data during object manipulation, and have fed this recorded experience into a model that learns from these data to generate successful manipulations. This approach to transferring experience from humans to robots could benefit from the use of Sundaram and colleagues’ data-acquisition glove.

Finally, the current study paves the way for several computer-vision models to be reused for tactile-signal processing, allowing the application of decades of computer-vision research. This approach offers many benefits, such as the removal of various problems involving model selection that slowed progress in deep learning in its early stages. Sundaram and colleagues’ glove could therefore lead to rapid advances in tactile sensing. I am confident that the low cost of the glove will facilitate the replication and sharing of the methodology used to fabricate the device and of the data-acquisition set-up. That would foster the use of large and standard data sets in tactile-sensing research—currently a major limitation with respect to computer vision.
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