Coupled oscillation and spinning of photothermal particles in Marangoni optical traps
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Cyclic actuation is critical for driving motion and transport in living systems, ranging from oscillatory motion of bacterial flagella to the rhythmic gait of terrestrial animals. These processes often rely on dynamic and responsive networks of oscillators—a regulatory control system that is challenging to replicate in synthetic active matter. Here, we describe a versatile platform of light-driven active particles with interaction geometries that can be reconfigured on demand, enabling the construction of oscillator and spinner networks. We employ optically induced Marangoni trapping of particles confined to an air–water interface and subjected to patterned illumination. Thermal interactions among multiple particles give rise to complex coupled oscillatory and rotational motions, thus opening frontiers in the design of reconfigurable, multiparticle networks exhibiting collective behavior.

Significance

Oscillators, widely found in nature, form the basis of a wide variety of actuating and signal processing mechanisms. While the ability to mimic arrays of oscillators and control their coupling is a central goal in the field of bioinspired soft materials, this has been difficult to achieve. Using Marangoni forces generated during spatially inhomogeneous illumination of photothermally responsive particles, we demonstrate a scheme for optically trapping arrays of particles at air–water interfaces that can incite a range of oscillatory and spinning behaviors. When multiple objects are arranged in proximity, they exhibit complex, collective behavior emerging from geometry-dependent interparticle coupling. This route to achieving collective motion is expected to open opportunities in the study of active materials.
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Oscillators, widely found in nature, form the basis of a wide variety of actuating and signal processing mechanisms. While the ability to mimic arrays of oscillators and control their coupling is a central goal in the field of bioinspired soft materials, this has been difficult to achieve. Using Marangoni forces generated during spatially inhomogeneous illumination of photothermally responsive particles, we demonstrate a scheme for optically trapping arrays of particles at air–water interfaces that can incite a range of oscillatory and spinning behaviors. When multiple objects are arranged in proximity, they exhibit complex, collective behavior emerging from geometry-dependent interparticle coupling. This route to achieving collective motion is expected to open opportunities in the study of active materials.
Results

We first consider the behavior of circular HNDs uniformly loaded with Au NPs under a pattern of light containing a non-illuminated rectangular trap region; the resultant sustained oscillatory motion of the HNDs is illustrated in Fig. 1A. The HNDs are fabricated with a diameter of 300 μm and thickness of ∼6 μm by lithographic patterning of the photo cross-linkable copolymer (poly(diethylacrylamide-co-N-(4-benzoylphenyl)acrylamide-coacrylic acid)) (SI Appendix, Fig. S1) (29). The Au NPs, grown in situ by photoreduction of embedded Au salts, absorb light because of their surface plasmon resonance (30), thereby generating localized heat in proportion to the light intensity and the NP absorbance. Offsetting an HND from the center of the trap causes differential heating across its diameter (SI Appendix, Fig. S2) and a greater surface tension on the colder (less illuminated) portion, which acts to restore the disk to the center of the trap. However, a temporal lag in the thermal response causes the HND to overshoot the optical-trap midpoint before the temperature gradient reverses direction, giving rise to sustained oscillatory motion, as seen in the time-lapse images of Fig. 1B and Movie S1. This behavior is sensitive to the trap dimensions, as summarized in Fig. 1D—as the length of the trap approaches the HND diameter, stable trapping with no oscillation is observed, whereas small traps (<50 μm) do not provide sufficient Marangoni restoring force to retain the HNDs within the trap. Oscillations persist over extended time periods (at least 400 s) with minimal changes in amplitude or frequency (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Furthermore, for trap dimensions that support sustained oscillations, there is a threshold light intensity for the illuminated regions surrounding the trap, above which oscillations are observed. Dividing the trap pattern into two regions of different light intensity generates asymmetric oscillations, with higher amplitude toward the darker side (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). A series of such asymmetric traps drive directional stochastic hopping of oscillating HNDs between traps, with the HND spending varying intervals of time in each trap (Fig. 1C, SI Appendix, Fig. S4, and Movie S1).

To further elucidate the mechanism underlying oscillation of trapped HNDs, we adapt our previously developed model to treat each HND as a two-element lumped thermal system (22). The differential heat generation \( \Delta Q(x) \) between the left and right halves of the HND is calculated based on the pattern of the light and instantaneous center position \( x \) and is used to determine the resulting time-varying temperature difference \( \Delta T \), considering heat loss due to transient motion and thermal mass of the HNDs. Effective mass, drag coefficients, and overall absorption fraction from the wide-spectrum white light are treated as adjustable parameters as these variables cannot be calculated easily; this also serves to compensate for oversimplifications in the model (16). As an exemplary result (Fig. 1E), the simulations closely match the observed time dependence of HND position. The trend of increasing oscillation amplitude with light intensity is also recovered from the simulation (SI Appendix, Fig. S5). However, we note that the threshold intensity for oscillation from simulations (∼0.5 W/cm²) is lower than observed experimentally (>1.5 W/cm²). Given that a similarly high threshold intensity is also observed here for the propulsion of Marangoni...
swimmers under uniform illumination (SI Appendix, Fig. S6), as well as in previous reports on Marangoni spinners and colloids (20, 31), we speculate that redistribution of small amounts of surface-active impurities in the presence of temperature gradients, or perhaps hysteresis in the gel–water–air contact line position (32, 33), suppress motion at low intensity.

At light intensities greater than ~2 W/cm², the frequency of HND oscillations becomes constant, as shown in Fig. 1F. This behavior is also seen in the analytical thermal circuit model (derived in the Materials and Methods section) as the frequency beyond a threshold light intensity becomes

\[ \omega = \frac{\eta_{\text{eff}}}{m_{\text{eff}} R_{\text{th}}} \sqrt{C_{\text{th}} R_{\text{th}}} \]

where \( \eta_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective damping coefficient, \( m_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective mass of the HND, \( C_{\text{th}} \) is thermal capacitance, and \( R_{\text{th}} \) is thermal resistance. The increase of \( C_{\text{th}} \) at high intensity by convective heat loss at higher velocity (\( h(x) \); \( x \) is velocity) nearly balances a decrease of \( R_{\text{th}} \) (~1/\( h(x) \)), thus defining an oscillation frequency independent of intensity. This interpretation does not apply to the low light-intensity regime (with low particle velocities), which arises from the simplifying assumption that the parameters \( \eta_{\text{eff}} \) and \( m_{\text{eff}} \) are velocity independent. A simulated phase portrait of position versus temperature difference during the onset of oscillations and convergence to a stable oscillator limit cycle in Fig. 1G provides valuable insights into these systems: when the HND is located in the center of the trap (i.e., \( x = 0 \) mm), the substantial temperature difference of ~0.5 K that persists across the HND drives its movement beyond the trap center. This latent temperature difference reflects the “RC” delay time (\( t_{\text{th}} = C_{\text{th}} R_{\text{th}} \)) of the thermal circuit model and drives stable oscillation modes. Although the lumped thermal model used in these simulations is clearly an oversimplification, we note that the predicted magnitude of the temperature difference across the disk in Fig. 1G is well matched to analytical calculations considering the experimental geometry in detail (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). While temperature increase of up to ~1 K is generated in the vicinity of an HND, the overall heat generation is negligible (only ~1 mW per HND).

We next turn to systems of multiple coupled oscillators, which can be prepared in any selected geometry by the design of an optical-trap pattern. When two HNDs are trapped at large separation distances (Fig. 2A and Movie S2), they each oscillate at their own natural frequency, which is found to vary by as much as 20% due to imperfections in HND shape and/or variations in position of the pinned three-phase contact line that alter their effective mass and drag. However, when the HNDs are trapped in close proximity, frequency locking with antiphase synchronization emerges, as seen in Fig. 2B. This transition from uncoupled oscillation to antiphase synchronization is also seen in the

![Fig. 2. Distance-dependent coupling of two oscillators. Time-lapse images showing oscillation of two HNDs confined to traps with center-to-center distances of 850 \( \mu \)m (A) and 510 \( \mu \)m (B). Bottom images are kymographs (0.7 s) of the coupled oscillations. The yellow (red) dashed lines indicate antiphase (in-phase) synchronization. (Scale bars, 100 \( \mu \)m.) Time step for each image is 40 ms. (C) Differences in displacements of the coupled HNDs with separation distances of 850 \( \mu \)m (Top) and 510 \( \mu \)m (Bottom). (D) Instantaneous frequency relationship of the two coupled HND oscillators with varying trap separation. (E) Temporal motion of the HNDs from simulation and experiment for a trap separation distance of 510 \( \mu \)m. (F) Q-parameter and center offsets of the oscillations with respect to trap separation.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2024581118)
plots of relative displacement (Fig. 2C), where the beat pattern observed at large HND separation disappears at close proximity. Variation of the separation distance reveals that the transition occurs when the trap spacing is between 580 and 850 μm, as shown in Fig. 2C and D and SI Appendix, Fig. S7. Upon synchronization, a further reduction in the trap spacing (or stronger coupling) leads to an increase in the oscillation frequency, as seen in Fig. 2D. The number of HNDs in this experimental system is limited by the threshold light intensity required for oscillations, which sets the minimum size of HNDs that can exhibit Marangoni motions for a given light intensity (see derivation in Materials and Methods).

Oscillator synchronization is suspected to originate largely from the radial temperature gradients generated by neighboring HNDs, such that each HND experiences an additional repulsive Marangoni force from the neighboring HND, though hydrodynamic interactions may also play an important role. This leads to a time-varying HND–HND repulsion that drives synchronization and fixes an antiphase relationship when HNDs are closely spaced. Two oscillators coupled through repulsive interactions are known to synchronize to an antiphase state (34, 35). This repulsive interaction also manifests in an outward shift in the center of oscillation of each HND from the center of its trap as shown in Fig. 2E. Once the HNDs are synchronized, further decreasing their separation distance increases the offset between the oscillation center and trap center, as shown in Fig. 2F, which is consistent with repulsive interactions between closely positioned HNDs.

Here, we adopt a simplified first-order approach to simulate coupling between two disks based on the temperature increase around each HND due to thermal conduction, which scales as \( r^{-1} \), where \( r \) is the distance from the heat source. Thus, the resulting temperature difference across a neighboring HND, and resulting inter-HND repulsion, scales as \( \Delta T \sim (\partial / \partial r) T(r) \sim 1/r^2 \) (36). This is supported by experiments using optical traps when the HNDs are held stationary (and therefore do not experience hydrodynamic interactions). As the traps are brought closer together, the two HNDs are pushed away from their respective trap centers, revealing the presence of Marangoni repulsion (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). However, further work is needed to incorporate both thermal and hydrodynamic interactions in the simulation, as the latter likely plays an important role as well. Moreover, we expect that our approach can be readily extended to oil/water interfaces, which may provide another handle to modulate the relative importance of hydrodynamic effects and interfacial tension gradients. The synchronization-to-random phase transition is observed in both simulation and experimental results and is quantified using an order parameter \( Q \) (26). The \( Q \) parameter denotes the quality of synchronization between two oscillators, where \( Q \) lies between 1 (antiphase) and −1 (in phase); the value is close to 0 when the oscillators are uncorrelated. Phase locking is observed at an HND separation distance of 0.6 mm or below, as indicated by high \( Q \) values (>0.9) (Fig. 2F). Experimentally, we find an increase in oscillation frequency as the separation becomes small (SI Appendix, Fig. S9), a trend that is not captured by the simulation, suggesting potential additional interactions at shorter distances that are absent from the model (e.g., hydrodynamic interactions between the HNDs or crosstalk due to scattered light).

Coupling multiple HND oscillators in various arrangements of optical traps yields systems exhibiting more complex dynamics. First, three HNDs in serial traps show extended coupling, such that the top and bottom oscillators exhibit nearly in-phase coupling, connected by an antiphase oscillator in the middle, as seen in Fig. 3A, Movie S2, and SI Appendix, Fig. S10. When three traps are positioned at the vertices of an equilateral triangle with side lengths of 580 μm and oscillatory axes oriented toward the center of the triangle, synchronization occurs with all HNDs simultaneously moving radially inward and outward (Fig. 3B and Movie S3).

The tendency of two neighboring oscillators to exhibit antiphase synchronization leads to interesting collective dynamics when multiple oscillators are configured into a ring. When a group of \( N \) identical oscillators are arranged in a ring such that each oscillator is coupled to its two neighbors, the simplest group of resulting oscillator states are splay states where each pair of oscillators is separated by a constant phase difference (2πk/N), yielding an integral number (k) of phase rotations around the ring (2πk) (34). However, a splay state with perfectly antiphase synchronization between neighboring oscillators is inherently impossible when an odd number of HNDs are configured into a ring, leading to a geometrically frustrated system (37). Experimentally, we observe complex phase dynamics when HNDs are arranged in a three-oscillator ring structure, the smallest of frustrated geometries (Fig. 3C). Experimental results demonstrate that the oscillator network relieves frustration through time-varying, symmetry-breaking transitions with periodic changes in oscillation amplitudes and phase relationships between neighboring HNDs (Fig. 3F and SI Appendix, Fig. S11); for example, in Movie S3, one sees that the amplitude of oscillations of the bottom-right HND is nearly zero at 18 s, and gradually increases to attain maximum amplitude at 21 s, and then returns to small amplitude oscillations by 24 s. By tracking the instantaneous phase relationship between neighboring HNDs (Fig. 3G), we see that each HND pair exhibits quasi-stable states with repetitive transitions between these states of temporary stability. It is also evident from Fig. 3 F and G that the period of transient phase stability for a pair of HNDs is reflected in their respective amplitudes—that is, during transitions in and out of transient phase stability, the oscillation amplitudes drop to their lowest levels. We expect that the time spent by a pair at each transiently stable state is sensitive to the intensity of coupling with the third oscillating HND.

Importantly, this platform allows for real-time reconfiguration of oscillatory networks; for example, a three-oscillator HND network can be switched from a frustrated state to parallel antiphase synchronization simply by altering the orientation of the traps, as shown in Fig. 3E. This is shown in Movie S3, where the three-HND network originally exhibits frustrated oscillations (starting at 26 s). When switched to parallel coupling (at 42 s), all HNDs stop oscillating in the original ring configurations and adapt to this new trap configuration, gradually increasing their oscillation amplitudes until steady, synchronized amplitudes are attained (at about 46 s).

When HNDs are configured into a four-oscillator ring with a trap separation distance of 680 μm, they exhibit full frequency synchronization (Fig. 3D and Movie S3). The linearity and unity slope of the phase maps in Fig. 3H indicate that each neighboring pair of HNDs adopts a fixed phase relationship during the oscillation cycle. Strikingly, however, the HNDs are not perfectly symmetric in an antiphase relationship with each neighbor. As seen in the offsets in Fig. 3H, they instead form two clusters of nearly antiphase synchronization (top-right and bottom-left pairs), but the top-left and bottom-right pairing does not exhibit a phase relationship of \( \pi \) (SI Appendix, Fig. S12). This is more clearly illustrated in the plot of the instantaneous phase offset for each HND pair (Fig. 3I); a perfectly antiphase symmetric system would have all points at the \((1, 1)\) region of the map. While further investigation is needed to fully understand the origin of this behavior, we suspect that it is more favorable than perfect antiphase coupling between all particles, since it
requires the closest separations to be attained by only two, rather than all four, of the neighboring HND pairs.

We next consider how spatial programming of Au NP locations within the hydrogel disks, coupled with Marangoni optical traps, gives rise to additional modes of coupled particle motion. Fig. 4A shows a square hydrogel film with a chiral pattern, comprising off-center semicircular Au NP features along each side. This structure exhibits rotational motion under nonstructured illumination, driven by the temperature difference along each side of the HND (SI Appendix, Fig. S13 and Movie S4), which generates a Marangoni torque. We note that while the rotating HND is pushed toward the edge of the illuminated region due to an intensity gradient, it stops at the edge of the pattern with a portion of the disk exposed to light. Although the origin of the effect is not well understood, we suspect that a slight deswelling of HND due to the temperature increase induces out-of-plane deformation, creating an additional contribution to the surface energy that favors location of the distorted edge in the lower surface tension (illuminated) environment, thereby balancing the Marangoni repulsion. The angular velocity of an HND spinner scales linearly with light intensity beyond the threshold value (SI Appendix, Fig. S13), consistent with the observations for the HND oscillations. Also, by positioning a (nonilluminated) circular trap at the center of the disk, the spinning disk can be translated along the fluid interface by moving the microscope stage. The navigation of the spinning HNDs with a circular trap is made possible by the overlap between the repositioned dark trap and the Au NP–embedded region and the associated decrease in local heat generation, thereby directing the HND along the translating trap (Fig. 4B).

Furthermore, by providing a gradient intensity of light as a global energy landscape for Marangoni propulsion, an arbitrary translational trajectory of the spinning disk can be programmed (SI Appendix, Fig. S14). A spiral light pattern with higher intensity at the center causes the HND to move outward from the center toward the periphery as it spins, as shown in Movie S4 (at 22 s).

Collective motion driven by active constituents is evident in many living systems, from corotation of individually spinning Volvox algae to spiral vortex formation of swimming, circularly-confined bacteria (38, 39). With this inspiration, we extend the experimental system to demonstrate HND rotation in an arbitrarily defined optical boundary. As shown in Fig. 4C–E, two spinners trapped at a separation distance of 1,040 μm rotate individually in an uncoupled manner. As shown in Movie S5, as the trap separation distance is reduced to 690 μm, the HNDs show oscillatory separation while the individual HNDs spin (gradually increasing in amplitude from 22 s to about 29 s). Notably, the left HND rotates faster than the right one, which likely reflects sample-to-sample variations resulting from the fabrication process; for example, roughness of the edges resulting from photolithographic fabrication. This could be interpreted as two rotating HNDs that periodically bring two Au NP patches (that are repulsive) into close proximity, resembling the coupled oscillation of circular HNDs at short separation distances. Two spinners can be confined within a gray-scale pattern of light with a higher light intensity outside the circle that acts as an optical wall (Fig. 4F–H). Since the two HNDs experience Marangoni repulsion, they are pushed toward the edge of the optical wall to maximize separation distance. When the two HNDs rotate in the same direction, they co-orbit inside the optical barriers. Over
time, thermal repulsion results in oppositely positioned HNDs within the circle, with HND chirality defining the direction of net torque, since one edge is subjected to the higher intensity portion of the circular light pattern. On the other hand, two HNDs with opposite chirality counter rotate but show little, if any, co-orbiting in the circular trap (SI Appendix, Fig. S15). These observations were consistent across four separate measurements with two clockwise rotating HNDs and three measurements with one clockwise and one counterclockwise disks. This coupling of optical boundaries and NP patterns allows an approach to gain versatile control of active-matter movement and interactions under geometric confinement.

**Discussion**

In summary, 2D patterns of light were employed to optically trap photothermally active polymer nanocomposite structures at an air–water interface, leading to a reconfigurable platform for coupled particle motion. This system enables diverse assemblies of active matter such as coupled oscillator networks with dynamic patterns of serial or parallel coupling, radially synchronized motion, and frustrated ring networks. Furthermore, patterning of the Au NPs in the hydrogel disk enables active spinning motion where both rotational and translational motions are programmed by light patterns. Optical confinement of light-pumped active spinners excites oscillatory modes in particle separation or chirality-dependent multiparticle orbital motion superimposed on the rotational motion of each individual particle. Overall, this platform provides a versatile set of experiments for exploring coupled dynamics of light-driven motion with arbitrary boundary conditions, which may serve to validate existing, and inspire the development of new, theoretical descriptions of complex coupled motions.

**Materials and Methods**

**Chemicals.** Azobisobutyronitrile (AIBN), acrylic acid (AAc), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA, 13 to 23 kDa, 87% hydrolyzed), 4-methyl-2-pentanone (4MP), and 1,4-dioxane were purchased from Aldrich and used as received, except for AIBN, which was recrystallized from methanol. N,N-diethylacrylamide (DEAM) was purchased from TCI America, and inhibitors were removed by passage through basic alumina. Hexanes was purchased from Fisher Scientific.
Benzophenone acrylamide (AAmBP) and rhodamine B methacrylate (RhBMA) were prepared following literature procedures (30, 40).

Copolymer Synthesis. Poly(diethylacrylamide-co-N-(4-benzoylphenyl)-acrylamide-co-acrylic acid) (PDEAM) was synthesized according to a published procedure (30). Briefly, DEAM (3.0 g), AAmBP (0.45 g), AAC (0.037 g), RhBMA (0.01 g), and AIBN (0.01 g) were dissolved in 1,4-dioxane (20 mL) and added to a sealed vial in an inert atmosphere glove box. The solution, while protected from light, was heated up to 80 °C and polymerized for 20 h, then cooled to room temperature. The resulting polymer solution was precipitated into hexane and dried under vacuum (yield: 3.4 g, gel permeation chromatography (tetrahydrofuran eluent) with poly(methyl methacrylate) calibration standard: MW = 24 kDa, D = 2.3).

Fabrication of HNDs and Optical-Trapping Experiments. The HND fabrication procedure was adapted from a previous report (30). Si wafers were coated with PVA by spin coating 4 to 8 wt. % aqueous PVA solution at 5,000 rpm for 60 s. To prepare the drop-casting solution, 100 μL diethylene glycol was mixed with 7.5 mL 1-propanol. Then, 0.01 g AuCl₃·3H₂O was dissolved in the 1-propanol solution (750 μL). In a separate vial, 0.05 g PDEAM copolymer was dissolved in 0.5 mL 1-propanol. The solution for drop casting was prepared by mixing the polymer solution and gold salt solution, followed by filtration through a 0.45 μm membrane. The resultant solution (30 to 35 μL) was then drop cast onto a PVA-coated Si wafer (1 × 1 cm) and dried for 12 to 48 h in a closed dark jar, followed by 5 to 10 min in an oven (55 °C). In order to pattern the hydrogel networks, the dried film was transferred to the microscope stage and exposed to the patterned UV light (365 nm, 0.17 W/cm², 60 s) through an objective lens using a digital micromirror device (DMD) array. The Au NP patterning process was performed by photoexposure (400 nm, 2.24 W/cm², 1,000 s) to the DMD array. Any residual unreacted polymers and unreacted salts were removed by immersing the sample in a 9:1 (volume) mixture of the 4MP:hexane solution for 90 s. Finally, by immersing the Si wafer in water and dissolving the sacrificial layer, the patterned HNDs were floated to the top in pure water by following the previously reported procedure (41). Subsequently, thoroughly washed Petri dishes (Fisher Scientific, catalog no. FB0875713A) were filled with pure water, and the HNDs were transferred to them immediately prior to the experiments. The Petri dishes with the floating HNDs were transferred to the translational stage of the inverted microscope (Nikon ECLIPSE Ti) equipped with a white light source (Lumencor Spectra light-emitting diode) and the DMD array. The experiments were performed by illuminating the HNDs with patterned light of intensity typically in the range 1 to 3 W/cm². Spatial nonuniformity in light intensity at the sample plane was characterized using an sCMOS camera (Hamamatsu ORCA Flash) to capture an image with all mirrors on the DMD capacity, where ρ₀ is the density of the gel, A is the surface area of the lumped element (half the area of the gel), and t is the thickness. Then, the equations of motion were coupled with transient thermal difference between elements as shown below:

\[ u_1 = u_2, \]
\[ \frac{d^2u_1}{dt^2} + \frac{R_{th}}{m_{eff}} \left( \frac{\partial^2u_1}{\partial x^2} \right) = 0, \]
\[ \frac{d^2u_2}{dt^2} + \frac{R_{th}}{m_{eff}} \left( \frac{\partial^2u_2}{\partial x^2} \right) = 0, \]
\[ \frac{d\Delta T}{dt} = \frac{1}{\rho A T} \left( Q - \frac{1}{\rho A T} \right), \]
where ρ₀ is the density of the gel, A is the surface area of the lumped element (half the area of the gel), and t is the thickness.

Finally, in the case of coupled oscillation, since the temperature difference between the two elements induced by a nearby heat source scales as ~1/r², the following thermal coupling term was added to the equation to connect two sets of differential equations for oscillation as below:

\[ u_2 = \frac{R_{th}}{m_{eff}} \left( \frac{\partial^2u_2}{\partial x^2} - \eta \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x} \right), \]
where r is the separation distance between two oscillators, and η is the thermal coupling coefficient, which was fit to the observed Q values with respect to the separation distance. The sign (±) is determined from the initial configuration of the two oscillator positions. For example, the oscillator trapped on the left uses the positive sign and the one on the right is negative. In addition, the average frequency difference (~9%) in oscillator pairs at large separation distance were setup by tuning the effective mass coefficient on each oscillator to resemble the experimental system (SI Appendix, Fig. S9).

Threshold Light Intensity for Oscillation. For small HND displacements about the center of the trap, the differential heat input can be written as ∆Q = χR, where χ is the light intensity, a is the absorption coefficient of the HND, and R is the characteristic out-of-plane width of the HNDs. The temperature difference (ΔT) between the lumped elements resulting from the differential heat input can be calculated based on the thermal circuit shown in Fig. 1E and results in the following equation:

\[ \Delta T = \frac{\Delta Q}{k_B T} \]

where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, and \( T \) is the temperature of the environment.
The equation of motion of the HND is as follows:
\[ C_{\text{eff}} \left( \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (\Delta T) + \frac{\Delta T}{R_\text{th}(x)} \right) = \Delta Q(x). \] \[ 9 \]

The equation of motion of the HND is as follows:
\[ m_{\text{eff}} X + \eta_{\text{eff}} X + \gamma_\tau a \Delta T = 0. \] \[ 10 \]

Assuming a periodic solution of the form \( x(t) = X e^{i \omega t} \), and substituting for \( \Delta T \) from Eq. 9, the above equation becomes the following:
\[ -m_{\text{eff}} \omega^2 + j \omega \eta_{\text{eff}} + \gamma_\tau a R_\text{th} = 0. \] \[ 11 \]

During sustained oscillation, the imaginary component of the above equation is exactly 0. It is noteworthy that when the imaginary component is positive, the density can be simplified to the following:
\[ \Delta \eta = \frac{\gamma_\tau a R_\text{th} C_{\text{th}}}{1 + (\omega R_\text{th} C_{\text{th}})^2}. \] \[ 12 \]

Substituting for \( \gamma_\tau \), the expression for the minimum threshold light intensity for sustained oscillation at a frequency \( \omega \) can be expressed as follows:
\[ h_{\text{osc}} = \frac{\eta_{\text{eff}}}{2 \gamma_\tau a \omega} \times \frac{1 + (\omega R_\text{th} C_{\text{th}})^2}{R_\text{th} C_{\text{th}}}. \] \[ 13 \]

At the onset of oscillation during startup (and when \( \omega \ll 1/R_\text{th} C_{\text{th}} \)),
\[ h_{\text{osc}} = \frac{\eta_{\text{eff}}}{2 \gamma_\tau a \omega} \times \frac{1 + (\omega R_\text{th} C_{\text{th}})^2}{R_\text{th} C_{\text{th}}}. \] \[ 14 \]

Specifically, for a square HND of side \( a \), with \( \alpha = 0.5 \), the threshold current density can be simplified to the following:
\[ h_{\text{osc}} = \frac{\eta_{\text{eff}}}{2 \gamma_\tau a \omega} \times \frac{1 + (\omega R_\text{th} C_{\text{th}})^2}{R_\text{th} C_{\text{th}}}. \] \[ 15 \]

Therefore, the final settling frequency can be written as follows:
\[ \omega = \sqrt{\frac{\eta_{\text{eff}}}{m_{\text{eff}} C_{\text{th}}}}. \] \[ 16 \]
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